

FORM TM-O**THE TRADE MARKS ACT, 1999**

**Notice of Opposition / Application for Rectification of the Register by cancelling or varying registration of a trade mark / Counter statement / Request to refuse or invalidate a trade mark under Section 25(a),(b) of Geographical Indication of Goods (Regulation and Protection)
under the Trade Marks Act**

Temp Number : 12386886

REQUEST	NOTICE OF OPPOSITION
FEE	2700
APPLICANT OR REGISTERED PROPRIETOR/OPPONENT/THIRD PARTY MAKING THE APPLICATNION/REQUEST	
Opponent Name	ANGLOFRANCHISE LTD.
Trading As	
Address	C/O Amc International Ltd, Amar House, 113 Whitechapel Road, London E1 1Dt, United Kingdom
Service Address	B-41, NIZAMUDDIN EAST, NEW DELHI- 110013
Mobile No	
Email address	trademark@anandandanand.com
AGENT OF THE APPLICANT OR REGISTERED PROPRIETOR/OPPONMENT/THIRD PARTY AS THE CASE MAY BE(if any)	
Agent Name	ANAND AND ANAND.
Address	B-41,NIZAMUDDIN EAST, NEW DELHI - 110 013.
Mobile No	
Nature of the Agent	Registered Trade Marks Agent
Registration No	
REQUEST OPPOSITION/APPLICATION IN THE MATTER OF	
DETAILS OF APPLICATION NUMBER	6126035
CLASS	25
REQUEST	NOTICE OF OPPOSITION
GROUND OF OPPOSITION	Grounds of opposition are attached separately
Date	16-05-2025 10:08 AM

Digitally Signed By
SANDHYA SINGH

for ANAND AND ANAND.

OPPOSITION SECTION

Our Ref:
23389B/MAS-6126035

May 16, 2025

The Registrar of Trade Marks
Trade Marks Office
Chennai

Re: Oppositions against application no. 6126035 for the mark MINI BOYS



in class 25 in the name of Fareeda Anjum.

TMJ No. 2192 dated 20th January 2025 at page no. 1642

Dear Sir,

We act for **ANGLOFRANCHISE LTD.** of the address **C/O Amc International Ltd, Amar House, 113 Whitechapel Road, London E1 1Dt, United Kingdom**, who is the opponent in the captioned matter. A copy of a General Power of Attorney duly executed in our favour is enclosed herewith.

On behalf of our clients, we hereby submit a Notice of Opposition. The requisite fee of Rs.2700/- is enclosed herewith.

Please note that the Trade Marks Journal No. 2192 was released on January 20, 2025 and the statutory deadline to file an opposition against the captioned trademark would expire on May 20, 2025. A copy of the Trade Marks Journal is enclosed herewith. Accordingly, the present notice of opposition is within the statutory deadline.

You are requested to kindly take the Notice of Opposition on record and to proceed further in the matter.

Yours sincerely,



Vaishali Sharma
[Enrolment No.D/1333/2014]

Encl: Copy of the Power of Attorney
Notice of Opposition
Copy of the Trade Marks Journal
Fee of Rs.2700/-

Fee: INR 2700/-

Agent Code: 295

FORM TM-O
TRADE MARKS ACT, 1999

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR OF TRADE MARKS
TRADE MARKS REGISTRY, CHENNAI

NOTICE OF OPPOSITION TO APPLICATION FOR REGISTRATION OF A
TRADE MARK

[Section 21(1); Rule 42(1)]

IN THE MATTER OF Opposition to Application No. **6126035** for the mark



in class **25** in the name of **Fareeda Anjum** of the address **H No. 7/23,**
Singara Gardern 4th Lane old Wahermenpet Chennai-600021

-AND-

IN THE MATTER OF opposition filed thereto by **ANGLOFRANCHISE LTD.** of the
address **C/O Amc International Ltd, Amar House, 113 Whitechapel Road, London E1**
1Dt, United Kingdom

-
1. We, **Anglofranchise Ltd** (*hereinafter referred to as the 'Opponent'/ 'Opponent Company', a term which shall hereinafter include its predecessors in interest and title, subsidiaries, group companies and affiliated companies*) hereby give notice of our intention to oppose the registration of trade mark Application No. **6126035** for the mark



in class **25** in the name of **Fareeda Anjum** advertised in the Trade Marks Journal No. **2192-0** dated **20th January 2025**.

ABOUT THE OPPONENT AND THE OPPONENT'S TRADE MARKS

1. Founded by Stephane Raynor in 1976, the story of **BOY** is a true one off, in that the wildest rumours and legends that surround it couldn't hope to compete with the reality. Beloved by the underground, but frequently courted by an overground hungry for a piece of **BOY**'s trademark attitude, it remains the label that everyone wants in on. From Warhol, to Madonna, Nicki Minaj, Punks through to New Romantics, club kids to fashionistas, all have worn **BOY**. Adopted as the uniform of choice for every youth movement that has mattered, the brand has outlived them all, with the unmistakeable spirit that underpins all things **BOY** remaining the same today as when it was first formed. It has been hotly pursued by music stars around the globe and zealously guarded by savvy collectors since even before the opening of the first boutique at 153 Kings Road in 1976. A photo of the first **BOY** store dating back to 1976 is shown herein:



2. The Opponent's trademarks **BOY**, **BOY LONDON**, **BOY**, **BOY** ,



BOY
LONDON

BOY LONDON


(hereinafter referred to as the '**BOY/ BOY LONDON Mark**') are distinctive considering **BOY LONDON** is coined by conjoining two words of equal prominence whereas **BOY** is arbitrary, thus being inherently distinctive in nature and affording the highest form of protection accorded to such coined/ arbitrary marks under law.

3. The **BOY/ BOY LONDON Mark** is conspicuously displayed on Opponent's products, thus creating immense brand awareness amongst the general public at large. Few images are shown below:






4. The Opponent also owns and operates the website <https://www.boy-london.com> which comprises of **BOY/ BOY LONDON** as an essential and integral component. Moreover, the brand **BOY** is conspicuously displayed on this website and noticed by every visitor visiting the website for purchase or otherwise. Such website being accessible around the world and in India. Please see below screenshots from the Opponent's said webpage prominently reflecting the trade mark/ brand name **BOY**:




5. The Opponent has put tremendous time, effort and energy into advertising and promoting and popularizing its products under the **BOY/ BOY LONDON** trade marks. The Opponent

has invested substantial resources towards marketing and promoting its products and services under its trademark by way of various forms of electronic and physical media. Further, the Opponent has spent considerable sums of money in ensuring the availability of the products under the **BOY/ BOY LONDON** trade marks. As a result of the Opponent's efforts, the **BOY** trade marks are known amongst the relevant section of trade and industry and enjoy reputation and goodwill in India in the minds of the consuming public as well as the members of the trade.

6. By virtue of the extensive use and the promotional efforts undertaken by the Opponent in respect of the **BOY/ BOY LONDON Mark** and the inherent superior quality of the goods sold and services rendered thereunder, the said goods and services bearing the **BOY/ BOY LONDON Mark** have acquired impeccable reputation and goodwill and connotes and denotes to the members of trade and public the goods and services originating exclusively from the Opponent.
7. It is submitted that the Opponent has strong presence over social media websites like Facebook, Twitter, and Instagram etc. wherein the mark **BOY/ BOY LONDON** has extensively been showcased. Such a strong presence on social media websites indicates the ever-growing popularity of the Opponent's mark.
8. Such is the exclusive association between the Opponent and the trade mark **BOY/ BOY LONDON** that even a casual search on a popular search engine such as www.google.com for the mark **BOY LONDON** produces hits that relate to the Opponent only as shown below:









Also, a Google search for '**BOY clothing brand**', produces topmost hit relating to the Opponent as per screenshot below:



9. Further, with specific reference to India, not only the Opponent's brand's **BOY/ BOY LONDON** goodwill and reputation has spilled over into India by virtue of Indian's frequenting the Opponent's international stores, wide availability online, celebrities carrying such products etc., much before its actual launch in India, a range of the said products under the **BOY/ BOY LONDON Mark** are also easily available in India at India's largest luxury retail chain, **The Collective**. A glimpse of the said collection is accessible at <https://www.thecollective.in/b/men-boy-london>. The said products are also available at Tata CLiQ Luxury, the flagship digital commerce initiative of the Tata Group. Please see: <https://luxury.tatacliq.com/luxury/c-lsh1?page=0&q=:relevance:category:LSH17:brand:MBH11B12663&isSortFlow=false&srsltid=AfmBOop2lHn1dpa76kjeTFbbeC-WMDQUVHqsDoYv5R-MoqFuD2VTVo-G>. In fact, the Opponent has been using the said mark **BOY/ BOY LONDON** in India since at least 2012, thus having garnered humongous goodwill and reputation.
10. Apart from the above detailed common law rights, the Opponent is also the registered proprietor of the trade mark **BOY/ BOY LONDON Mark** and other variants in several jurisdictions around the world at present, the details of which are mentioned below. The said registrations are a recognition of the Applicant's proprietorship in the mark as also acknowledged by the IP Offices of said jurisdictions.

Sr. No.	Trademark	Application number	Application / Registration Date	Origin	Classes	Status
1.	BOY LONDON	18092957	2019-07-09	European Union	3, 9, 43	Registered
2.	BOY LONDON	40201710 5 19T-01	2017-06-05	Singapore	18, 14, 35	Registered
3.	BOY LONDON	40201710 5 19T-02	2017-06-05	Singapore	25	Registered
4.	BOY LONDON	11708625	2013-04-03	European Union	14, 18, 25, 35	Registered
5.	BOY LONDON	11708773	2013-04-03	European Union	14, 18, 25, 35	Registered
6.	BOY LONDON	M1963024	1995-05-04	Spain	25	Registered
7.	BOY LONDON	91000207	1991-01-14	Malaysia	25	Registered
8.	BOY LONDON	1443079		Great Britain	14, 18, 25	Registered
9.	BOY BY BOY	88105704	2018-09-05	USA	25	Registered
10.	BOY BY BOY	88105697	2018-09-05	USA	28	Registered
11.	BOY BY BOY	88105664	2018-09-05	USA	14	Registered
12.	BOY BY BOY	40201809 6 53U	2018-05-24	Singapore	25	Registered
13.	BOY BY BOY	16070286	2016-11-18	European Union	9, 14, 18, 25, 35	Registered
14.		40201710 5 21Y-04	2017-06-05	Singapore	14	Registered
15.		40201710 5 21Y-02	2017-06-05	Singapore	35	Registered

16.		40201710 5 21Y-03	2017-06-05	Singapore	18, 25	Registered
17.	BOY	137976	1996-04-01	European Union	14, 18, 25	Registered
18.		1447703	28.09.2018	Great Britain	14, 18, 25	Registered
19.	BOY LONDON	13874551	21-02-2016	Mainland China	3	Registered
20.		23825320	2019.6.7	Mainland China	9	Registered
21.	BOY LONDON	13874862	2016.9.14	Mainland China	9	Registered
22.	BOY LONDON	13875367	2016.4.7	Mainland China	14	Registered
23.	BOY LONDON	18178300	2017.8.28	Mainland China	14	Registered
24.		13876112	2016.4.7	Mainland China	14	Registered
25.	BOY LONDON	13875623	2016.7.7	Mainland China	18	Registered
26.	BOY LONDON	13875775	2016.2.28	Mainland China	25	Registered
27.	BOY LONDON	18186146	2016.12.7	Mainland China	25	Registered
28.		13876268	2016.4.7	Mainland China	25	Registered
29.		18453119	2017.10.07	Mainland China	35	Registered
30.	BOY LONDON	13875897	2016.1.21	Mainland China	35	Registered

31.		31947146	2019.4.8	Mainland China	35	Registered
32.		2000B023 43	2000.2.3	HONGKONG	9	Registered
33.		1999B158 42AA	1999.12.9	HONGKONG	14, 25	Registered
34.		1999B160 22AA	1999.12.13	HONGKONG	14, 25	Registered
35.		20000060 4AA	2000.1.11	HONGKONG	18,3 5,45	Registered
36.		2000B006 03AA	2000.1.11	HONGKONG	18,3 5,42, 45	Registered
37.		1995B079 45	1995.9.20	HONGKONG	35	Registered
38.	BOY LONDON	N/114088	2017.1.25	Macau	14	Registered
39.	BOY LONDON	N/114085	2017.1.25	Macau	18	Registered
40.	BOY LONDON	N/114087	2017.1.25	Macau	25	Registered
41.	BOY LONDON	N/114086	2017.1.25	Macau	35	Registered
42.		5704331	2014.9.26	Japan	14,1 8,25, 35	Registered
43.		4237193	1999.2.5	Japan	14	Registered
44.	BOY LONDON	295390	2019.4.7	UAE	35	Registered
45.		UK00001 534977	1993.5.5	UK	14,1 8,25	Registered
46.		1443079	26.07.2019	Austria (WIPO)	14,1 8,25	Registered
47.		1443079	26.07.2019	France (WIPO)	14,1 8,25	Registered

48.		1443079	26.07.2019	Serbia (WIPO)	14,1 8,25	Registered
49.		1443079	26.07.2019	CZECH REPUBLIC (WIPO)	14,1 8,25	Registered
50.		6523987	06.11.2017	TURKEY	3, 14,2 5,35	Registered
51.		6523987	06.11.2017	TURKEY	3, 14,2 5,35	Registered

11. The Opponent has been vigilant in safeguarding their rights in the trade mark **BOY/ BOY LONDON mark** and has to this effect from time to time successfully initiated proceedings against third parties misusing or attempting to register the said marks or deceptively similar marks.
12. As is evident from the preceding paragraphs, the Opponent's trade mark **BOY/ BOY LONDON** is a well-established trade mark to the members of trade and the relevant section of public by virtue of a consideration of several cumulative factors including *inter alia* the duration, extent and geographical area of use of the trade mark **BOY/ BOY LONDON**, the knowledge of the said trade mark to the relevant section of public and members of trade due to extensive promotion, publicity, advertisement and use of the trade mark internationally, including several registrations. *The Opponent reserves the right to elaborate on the publicity and advertisement of the mark BOY/ BOY LONDON at the relevant stage of evidence.*

DETAILS OF THE MARK TO BE OPPOSED

13. The present opposition is being filed against the registration of trade mark application no. **6126035** in class **25** (*hereinafter referred to as the "Impugned Application"*) for



registration of the trade mark (hereinafter referred to as the "*Impugned Mark*") in the name of **Fareeda Anjum**. The Impugned Mark has been filed on 26th

September 2023, covering the goods “Clothing, footwear, headgear” on proposed to be used basis.

GROUND OF OPPOSITION

14. At the outset, it is submitted that the Applicant is not the proprietor of the Impugned Mark and is merely trying to misappropriate the Opponent’s goodwill and reputation subsisting in their trade mark **BOY/ BOY LONDON** with the sole intention to capitalize on the Opponent’s success and to suggest that it is affiliated or connected with the Opponent’s business. The Applicant with a complete dishonest intention has adopted the mark



, wherein ‘BOY’ remains to be the dominant essential element and the brand name which is **identical** to the Opponent’s trade mark **BOY/BOY LONDON**. whereas inclusion of ‘MINI’ is insignificant and may rather jeopardize the theory of brand recall as the Opponent has family of BOY trademarks.

15. The Applicant has absolutely no basis for adoption of the mark ‘**MINI BOYS**’ (wherein BOY remains to be dominant element while ‘MINI’ is insignificant) except with prior knowledge of the reputation and success of the prior trade mark **BOY/BOY LONDON** of the Opponent. Thus, due to the immense reputation and goodwill that subsists in Opponent’s trade mark **BOY/BOY LONDON** it is in all probability likely to cause confusion and deception in the minds of the consumers and members of trade since the Impugned Mark is confusingly/deceptively similar to the Opponent’s well-reputed trade mark, house mark and its corporate name **BOY/BOY LONDON**. It is submitted that the Opponent’s trade mark **BOY/BOY LONDON** has gained recognition of their own and therefore, the consumers and members of trade shall assume that the Applicant has some affiliation, sponsorship, association, or nexus with the Opponent. It is also submitted that the use of the Impugned Mark by the Applicant would most likely result in taking undue advantage of the reputation and goodwill of the Opponent’s trade mark **BOY/ BOY LONDON**. The Applicant is seeking registration for the confusingly/deceptively similar Impugned Mark which is *prima facie* dishonest and deserves to be refused *ab initio*.

16. The Impugned Mark is visually, structurally, textually and phonetically confusingly/ deceptively similar to the Opponent's prior, known and established trade mark 'BOY/ BOY LONDON', and the goods under the Impugned Mark are similar/overlapping under the Opponent's trade mark, indicative that the same is highly distinctive in nature. As mentioned in the foregoing paragraphs, the Opponent's products bearing the mark **BOY/BOY LONDON** are also available on several e-commerce websites, thereby making the trade channels, business interest and target consumer of the parties correlated, and of concern to the Opponent.
17. Evidently, the adoption of the Impugned Mark by the Applicant is *prima facie* dishonest, and the Applicant's use will invariably mislead and confuse consumers and members of the trade into believing that the goods under the Impugned Mark are sourced from the Opponent or have been manufactured/sold under approval from the Opponent, or are, in some manner, are associated with the Opponent. Being in the business/ trade of manufacture and/ or sale of similar/ overlapping products, the Applicant ought to have been well aware of the Opponent's prior and reputed trade mark **BOY/ BOY LONDON** and the Impugned Mark is clearly adopted to take undue advantage of the reputation and goodwill of the Opponent in its earlier trade mark. Hence, by virtue of the Opponent's senior and prior rights in the mark **BOY/ BOY LONDON**, the Impugned Mark in respect of similar/ overlapping goods is not registrable under Section 11(1) of the Act.
18. Inevitably, use by the Applicant of the Impugned Mark would lead to immense confusion and deception amongst public and members of the trade. Consumers may also be misled into wondering whether the Applicant has a license or is a franchisee of or is otherwise authorized by the Opponent to manufacture and/ or sell the goods under the Impugned Mark, or the Applicant has some affiliation, sponsorship, association or nexus with the Opponent or its affiliate(s). In light of the foregoing paragraph, registration of the Applicant's Impugned Mark is prohibited under Section 9(2)(a) of the Act.
19. The registration of the Impugned Mark is likely to be contrary to the provisions of Section 11(3)(a) of the Act as the use of the Impugned Mark is liable to be prevented in a court of law under the law of passing off and unfair trade competition. By virtue of extensive use by the Opponent for more than three decades internationally as also in India since at least 2012, the mark **BOY/ BOY LONDON**, has become distinctive of the Opponent's goods

internationally including in India. Thus, the mark **BOY/ BOY LONDON** is associated with and related to the Opponent alone. The Impugned Mark sought to be registered by the Applicant is confusingly/ deceptively similar to the Opponent's trade mark, and therefore, the use of the Impugned Mark is of such nature, as to deceive the public and cause confusion and is a malicious attempt on part of the Applicant to pass off its goods as those of the Opponent. The Applicant does not have any justification for adoption of the Impugned Mark in respect of its said goods.

20. To the extent that the Opponent has not consented to the registration and/ or use of the Impugned Mark by the Applicant, the Applicant is not even entitled to the benefit of the provision stipulated in Section 11(4) of the Act. In the same vein, the adoption of the Impugned Mark not being honest, the Applicant is not and cannot claim to be the 'proprietor' of the Impugned Mark, within the meaning the Section 18(1) of the Act and being so, is disentitled to seek registration of the same. *The Opponent reserves the right to elaborate on the above at the relevant stage of evidence.*

21. Additionally, it is submitted that the well-established character of the Opponent's trade mark **BOY/ BOY LONDON** worldwide is amply brought out by consideration of various factors mentioned hereinabove. Owing to the inherent distinctive nature of the mark **BOY/ BOY LONDON** which has been in use for over three decades in the world market and in India since atleast 2012, the said mark is traceable only to the Opponent herein. The use of the Opponent's trade mark **BOY/ BOY LONDON** has been extensive in terms of geographical spread not only involving several countries but also in physical volume of use so that the extent of use and its geographical spread has made relevant consumers and members of the relevant trade across the globe including India well familiarized with the Opponent's trade mark **BOY/ BOY LONDON** and its products. The Impugned Application has been filed to dishonestly take unfair advantage of the Opponent's much prior mark, **BOY/ BOY LONDON**. Therefore, the Learned Registrar is liable to protect the Opponent's prior and known trade mark **BOY/ BOY LONDON** against the Impugned Mark and take into consideration the bad faith involved and should refuse the registration of the Impugned Mark.

22. The Opponent legitimately apprehends that the Applicant's act of copying is most likely with a view to trade upon the Opponent's reputation and with a view to earn profits in an

illegal manner. The registration of the Impugned Mark is thus hit by the provisions of Section 102 of the Act punishable under Section 103 of the Act. Under these circumstances, the Impugned Mark is also not entitled to protection in a Court of law and its registration in favor of the Applicant will be contrary to the provisions of Sections 11 (3)(a) and 29 of the Act.

23. The Impugned Mark has been copied and is inspired by the repute and goodwill of the Opponent's trade mark **BOY/ BOY LONDON**, which can be well gauged from consideration of the following facts:

- The Impugned Mark comprising '**BOY**' as the dominant element which is identical to the Opponent's trade mark **BOY/ BOY LONDON**, and the goods thereunder are also similar/ overlapping. Therefore, the first impression that any consumer would have on seeing the goods under the Impugned Mark, is that they are emanating from the Opponent.
- The Opponent's coined trade mark **BOY LONDON** as also arbitrary mark **BOY**, is known and is an inherently distinctive mark and, owing to over three decades of extensive use worldwide by the Opponent, members of the trade and the public are well aware of the presence of the Opponent's trade mark **BOY/ BOY LONDON**. Therefore, it could not be by coincidence or chance that the Applicant adopted the Impugned Mark in respect of similar/ overlapping goods, without any dishonest intentions. Clearly, the Impugned Mark has been adopted to cash in and trade upon the vast goodwill and tremendous reputation vested in the trade mark **BOY/ BOY LONDON** of the Opponent.
- The Opponent has prior use of over three decades of the mark **BOY/BOY LONDON** internationally and since at least 2012 in India and this information is a matter of public knowledge of which the Applicant, is bound to be aware of inasmuch as a brief search online for the mark **BOY/ BOY LONDON** shows information with respect to the Opponent and its products.
- The use of the mark **BOY/BOY LONDON** by the Opponent is over three decades prior to the subsequent filing of the impugned application only in September 2023 on proposed to be use basis. In view of the reasons mentioned above, it is submitted that

the Impugned Mark has been adopted by the Applicant with dishonesty and *mala fide* intentions with the sole intention to trade upon the goodwill and reputation vested in the Opponent's trade mark **BOY/ BOY LONDON**. The Applicant cannot claim to be the proprietor of the Impugned Mark as the claim for proprietorship of the said mark is false and thus, the Impugned Application should be refused registration being contrary to Section 18(1) of the Act.

- Further, being the exclusive and undisputed proprietor of the Opponent's trade mark **BOY/ BOY LONDON**, the Opponent has not authorized or consented to the use of the Impugned Mark by the Applicant. It is pertinent to note that no harm or injury will befall upon the Applicant herein if the Impugned Mark is denied registration as the same is recently applied on proposed to be use basis. On the other hand, the Opponent will suffer immeasurable hardship and losses both financially and to its reputation, if the Impugned Mark is allowed to proceed to registration.

24. The registration of the Impugned Mark in the Applicant's favor would therefore be contrary to the provisions of Sections 9, 11, 12, 18, 29, 102 and 103 of the Act. In the light of the submissions made above, it is most humbly prayed that the Learned Registrar may be pleased to exercise his discretion in favor of the Opponent by allowing the present opposition proceedings and by refusing the Impugned Mark applied for in the Impugned Application.

25. In view of the grounds and reasons set out in the premises above and in order to maintain the purity of the Register and in the interest of the general public, the Opponent respectfully submits that it is a fit and proper case for the exercise of the Learned Registrar's discretion in favor of the Opponent, by denying registration of the Impugned Mark applied for in the Impugned Application.

26. The Opponent craves leave to modify, amend to and/or add to or alter any of the foregoing grounds and reasons.

27. The Opponent, therefore, prays that in view of the above premises:

- This Notice of Opposition be allowed.



- The Application for registration of the Impugned Mark number **6126035** in class **25** be refused.
- The cost of these proceedings be awarded to the Opponent.

All communications in respect of the above proceedings may be sent to the following address in India:

Anand & Anand
Advocates for the Opponent
B-41, Nizamuddin East
New Delhi - 110 013

Dated: 16th day of **May**, 2025.

ANAND AND ANAND

Advocates for the Opponent
(Agent Code 295)

To,
The Registrar of Trade Marks
Trade Marks Registry
Chennai

VERIFICATION

I, Vaishali Sharma do hereby verify at Delhi on this 16th day of May 2025 that the contents of paragraphs 1 to 09 are based upon the facts of the Opponent company which are matters of record and which have been relayed to me and thus believed to be true. The contents of the paragraph 10 to 26 are based upon the information received and believed to be true. Paragraph 27 is only a prayer before this Hon'ble Tribunal.

DEPONENT



6126035 26/09/2023

FAREEDA ANJUM

H No. 7/23, Singara Gardern 4th Lane old Wahermenpet Chennai-600021

Sole Proprietorship

Address for service in India/Attorney address:

ABHISHEK MISHRA

House No 405 Block 3-4 In Front of SP City Hospital Jagdishpur Ballia UP 277001

Proposed to be Used

CHENNAI

Clothing, footwear, headgear



सत्यमेव जयते

INDIA NON JUDICIAL

Government of National Capital Territory of Delhi

e-Stamp

Certificate No.	: IN-DL95510010420773W
Certificate Issued Date	: 29-May-2024 09:09 PM
Account Reference	: IMPACC (IV)/ dl1040103/ DELHI/ DL-DLH
Unique Doc. Reference	: SUBIN-DL104010347378684431430W
Purchased by	: ANAND AND ANAND ADVOCATES
Description of Document	: Article 48(c) Power of attorney - GPA
Property Description	: Not Applicable
Consideration Price (Rs.)	: 0 (Zero)
First Party	: ANAND AND ANAND ADVOCATES
Second Party	: Not Applicable
Stamp Duty Paid By	: ANAND AND ANAND ADVOCATES
Stamp Duty Amount(Rs.)	: 100 (One Hundred only)



Please write or type below this line

THE TRADE MARKS ACT, 1999

Form of Authorisation of an agent Section 145 and Rule 19

We, **ANGLOFRANCHISE LTD**
AMC INTERNATIONAL LTD, Amar House, 113 Whitechapel Road,
London E1 1DT, United Kingdom

Statutory Alert:

The authenticity of this Stamp certificate should be verified at www.stonestamp.com or using e-Stamp Mobile App of Stock Hop. Any misrepresentation of the details on this Certificate and as available on the website / Mobile App renders it invalid. The onus of checking the legitimacy is on the user of the certificate. In case of any discrepancy please inform the Competent Authority.

THE TRADE MARKS ACT, 1999

Form of Authorisation of an agent
Section 145 and Rule 19

We, **ANGLOFRANCHISE LTD**

**AMC INTERNATIONAL LTD, Amar House, 113 Whitechapel Road,
London E1 1DT, United Kingdom**

do hereby authorize Pravin Anand, Safir Anand, Sandhya
Singh, Madhu Rewari, Twinky Rampal,
Nupur Sharma, and Vaishali Sharma
Advocates of ANAND & ANAND, B-41, Nizamuddin East, New Delhi - 110 013 India,
to act jointly or severally as our agents for the registrations, objections, assignments,
oppositions and rectifications and in all such matters where we are a party. The
communications relating thereto may be sent to such agents at the above address.

We also authorize our said agents to appoint any person or persons on my behalf to attend
and conduct the cases and/or proceedings.

We hereby ratify and agree to ratify all acts and deeds done by our said agents.

We hereby revoke all previous authorizations, if any, in respect of the said proceedings.


Name:
Designation:

Dated this 29 day of April, 2020

The Trade Marks Registry
New Delhi/Mumbai/Kolkata/Chennai/Ahmedabad